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#### Abstract

In this paper we give a sufficient condition to imply global asymptotic stability of a delayed cellular neural network of the form $$
\dot{x}_{i}(t)=-d_{i} x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} f\left(x_{j}(t)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} f\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right)\right)+u_{i}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$


where $f(t)=\frac{1}{2}(|t+1|-|t-1|)$. In order to prove this stability result we need a sufficient condition which guarantees that the trivial solution of the linear delay system

$$
\dot{z}_{i}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} z_{j}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} z_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

is asymptotically stable independently of the delays $\tau_{i j}$.
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## 1 Introduction

The notion of cellular neural networks (CNNs) was introduced by Chua and Yang ([5]), and since then, CNN models have been used in many engineering applications, e.g., in signal processing and especially in static image treatment [6]. As a generalization of CNNs, cellular neural networks with delays (DCNNs) were introduced by Roska and Chua [14].

In this paper we study the asymptotic stability of the DCNN model described by the system of nonlinear delay differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{i}(t)=-d_{i} x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} f\left(x_{j}(t)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} f\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right)\right)+u_{i}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Here $n$ is the number of cells; $x_{i}(t)$ denotes the potential of the $i$ th cell at time $t ; d_{i}$ represents the rate with which the $i$ th unit resets its potential to the resting state when it is isolated from other cells and inputs; $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$ denote the strengths of the $j$ th unit on the $i$ th unit at time $t$ and $t-\tau_{i j}$, respectively; $\tau_{i j}$ corresponds to transmission delay between the $i$ th and $j$ th cells; $f$ denotes an output function; $u_{i}$ is an external input to the $i$ th cell.

The stability of (1.1) and more general classes of DCNNs has been intensively studied, see, e.g., [2]-[4], [11]-[13], [15]-[18], and the references therein. We will assume throughout this paper that the output function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
f(t)=\frac{1}{2}(|t+1|-|t-1|)= \begin{cases}1, & t>1  \tag{1.2}\\ t, & -1 \leq t \leq 1 \\ -1, & t<-1\end{cases}
$$

This function is widely used in CNN and DCNN models.
In a recent paper Mohamad and Gopalsamy ([13]) have shown using fixed point method that if $f$ is defined by (1.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}>\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{i j}\right|+\left|b_{i j}\right|\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) has a unique fixed point which is globally exponentially stable. In our Theorem 4 (see below) we show that the weaker assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}-a_{i i}>\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right|, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with another condition (see (3.11) below) implies the global asymptotic stability of the unique equilibrium of (1.1). We also conjecture (see Conjecture 1 below) that assumption (3.11) can be omitted, (1.4) itself, or even a weaker condition implies the global asymptotic stability of the equilibrium.

We remark that condition (1.4) is equivalent to saying that the matrix $K=\left(k_{i j}\right)$ with elements

$$
k_{i j}= \begin{cases}d_{i}-a_{i i}-\left|b_{i i}\right|, & \text { if } i=j \\ -\left|a_{i j}\right|-\left|b_{i j}\right| & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

is diagonally dominant and it has positive diagonal elements. We recall that an $n \times n$ matrix $K=\left(k_{i j}\right)$ is (row) diagonally dominant, if

$$
\left|k_{i i}\right|>\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|k_{i j}\right|, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Our condition (1.4) is similar to that given by Takahashi in [15], where it was shown that if $d_{1}=d_{2}=\cdots=d_{n}=1$ and the $n \times n$ matrix $W=\left(w_{i j}\right)$ with elements

$$
w_{i j}= \begin{cases}a_{i i}-1-\left|b_{i i}\right|, & \text { if } i=j \\ -\left|a_{i j}\right|-\left|b_{i j}\right| & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

is a nonsingular M-matrix (see definition below), then every solution of (1.1) tends to a constant equilibrium, i.e., the system is completely stable. Clearly, condition (1.4) implies that $d_{i}-a_{i i}>\left|b_{i i}\right|$, so in this case $W$ can not be an M-matrix. Similarly, if $W$ is an M-matrix, then (1.4) can not hold, therefore the two conditions cover disjoint cases. We comment that despite the similarities of the two conditions, the proof of our result requires a different technique than that used in [15]. Our results were motivated by the monotone technique we used in [9], where we studied the scalar version of (1.1) with $f$ defined by (1.2), and showed that the scalar version of (1.4) implies the global asymptotic stability of the unique equilibrium.

In Section 2 we give a sufficient condition which implies asymptotic stability of a linear delay system for all delays. Such stability is called absolute stability in the engineering literature. We extend a known result [3] for the case we use in Section 3 to prove our stability results for (1.1). In Section 4 we give an example to illustrate the main result and we formulate a conjecture to generalize the result.

First we introduce some notations. Let $\mathbb{R}_{+}$be the set of positive real numbers. We use the relation $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}\left(\mathbf{x}<\mathbf{y}\right.$, respectively) for vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, if $x_{i} \leq y_{i}\left(x_{i}<y_{i}\right.$, respectively) for all $i=1, \ldots, n$, where $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ and $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}$. We introduce the vectors $\mathbf{0}=(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{1}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

For an $n \times n$ matrix $B$ the symbol $|B|$ denotes the corresponding $n \times n$ matrix with $i j$ th element $\left|b_{i j}\right|$. Similarly, $|\mathbf{u}|=\left(\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|\right)^{T}$.

We say that an $n \times n$ matrix $K$ is an M-matrix, if all of its diagonal elements are nonnegative, and its off-diagonal elements are nonpositive, and all of its principal minors are nonnegative (see, e.g., [1], [3] or [7]). It is known (see, e.g., [1]) that if $K$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, then $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}$ implies $K^{-1} \mathbf{x} \leq K^{-1} \mathbf{y}$.

Remark 1 Let $K$ be a matrix such that the diagonal elements of $K$ are all positive and the off-diagonal elements are all nonpositive. Then it is known (see, e.g., Theorem 2.3 in [1]) that if $K$ is a diagonally dominant, then it is a nonsingular M-matrix, as well. Moreover, $K$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, if and only if, there exists a positive diagonal matrix $D$ such that $K D$ is a diagonally dominant matrix. We note that there are 50 conditions listed in [1] which are all equivalent to that a matrix is a nonsingular M -matrix.

## 2 Absolute Stability of a Linear System

Consider the autonomous linear delay system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{i}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} z_{j}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} z_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{i j} \geq 0$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n$.
We put the coefficients to the $n \times n$ matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$. For the matrix $A$ we associate the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix $A_{0}=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{11}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{n n}\right)$, i.e., the diagonal part of
$A$, and let $A_{1}=A-A_{0}$ be the off-diagonal part of $A$. Then with this notation, which we use throughout this paper, we can rewrite $A$ as $A=A_{0}+A_{1}$. Similarly, let $B_{0}$ be the diagonal part of $B$, and denote $B_{1}=B-B_{0}$.

In the case when $A_{1}=0$ and $B_{0}=0$ the necessary and sufficient condition for the stability and asymptotic stability of (2.1) for all selection of the delays $\tau_{i j}$ was established in [10]. Following the methods of [10] this result was extended in [3] for the special case when only $A_{1}=0$, i.e., $A$ is a diagonal matrix in (2.1), and $B$ is an arbitrary matrix.

Theorem 1 (see Theorem 2.6 in [3]) Suppose $A=A_{0}$. Then the trivial solution of (2.1) is asymptotically stable for all delays $\tau_{i j} \geq 0$, if and only if $-A-|B|$ is an $M$-matrix and $A+B$ is a nonsingular matrix.

Note that in the case when $B$ is a nonnegative matrix, this result follows from a more general theorem in [7], where such result was proved for quasilinear delay differential equations. In the case when $B$ is a nonnegative matrix, Theorem 1 also follows from an other generalization of it given in [8], where it was shown that if $\tau_{k} \geq 0,(k=1, \ldots, p), D_{k} \geq 0$ are diagonal matrices for $k=1, \ldots, p$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{p} D_{k}$ is invertible, $B_{\ell}$ are nonnegative $n \times n$ matrices for $\ell=1, \ldots, r$, and equation

$$
\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)=-\sum_{k=1}^{p} D_{k} \mathbf{u}\left(t-\tau_{k}\right)
$$

has a positive fundamental solution, then the trivial solution of

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)=-\sum_{k=1}^{p} D_{k} \mathbf{x}\left(t-\tau_{k}\right)+\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} B_{\ell} \mathbf{x}\left(t-\sigma_{\ell}\right)
$$

is asymptotically stable for all $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{\ell} \geq 0$, if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{p} D_{k}-\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} B_{\ell}
$$

is a nonsingular M-matrix.
We extend the sufficient part of Theorem 1 for the case which we will need later. We assume $A \neq A_{0}$, i.e., there are nonzero off-diagonal parts of $A$. The proof follows that of Theorem 1 (see [3]).

Theorem 2 Suppose $-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix. Then the trivial solution of (2.1) is asymptotically stable for all delays $\tau_{i j} \geq 0$.

Proof Finding the solution of $(2.1)$ in the form $e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{v} \neq 0)$ leads to the characteristic equation

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11}+b_{11} e^{-\lambda \tau_{11}}-\lambda & a_{12}+b_{12} e^{-\lambda \tau_{12}} & \cdots & a_{1 n}+b_{1 n} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1 n}}  \tag{2.2}\\
a_{21}+b_{21} e^{-\lambda \tau_{21}} & a_{22}+b_{22} e^{-\lambda \tau_{22}}-\lambda & \cdots & a_{2 n}+b_{2 n} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2 n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1}+b_{n 1} e^{-\lambda \tau_{n 1}} & a_{n 2}+b_{n 2} e^{-\lambda \tau_{n 2}} & \cdots & a_{n n}+b_{n n} e^{-\lambda \tau_{n n}}-\lambda
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

of (2.1). It is known that the asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of (2.1) is equivalent to that all roots of (2.2) have negative real parts. Let $\lambda$ be a root of (2.2), then $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix

$$
G(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11}+b_{11} e^{-\lambda \tau_{11}} & a_{12}+b_{12} e^{-\lambda \tau_{12}} & \cdots & a_{1 n}+b_{1 n} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1 n}} \\
a_{21}+b_{21} e^{-\lambda \tau_{21}} & a_{22}+b_{22} e^{-\lambda \tau_{22}} & \cdots & a_{2 n}+b_{2 n} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2 n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1}+b_{n 1} e^{-\lambda \tau_{n 1}} & a_{n 2}+b_{n 2} e^{-\lambda \tau_{n 2}} & \cdots & a_{n n}+b_{n n} e^{-\lambda \tau_{n n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, it is known (see, e.g., Theorem 2.3 in [1]) there exist positive constants $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-a_{i i}-\left|b_{i i}\right|\right) \gamma_{i}>\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left(\left|a_{i j}\right|+\left|b_{i j}\right|\right) \gamma_{j}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{diag}\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$. Then $\Gamma$ is nonsingular, therefore $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $\Gamma^{-1} G(\lambda) \Gamma$, as well. Therefore an application of Gersgorin's theorem for the matrix $\Gamma^{-1} G(\lambda) \Gamma$ yields

$$
\left|\lambda-a_{i i}-b_{i i} e^{-\lambda \tau_{i i}}\right| \leq \sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{-1}\left(\left|a_{i j}\right|+\left|b_{i j}\right|\left|e^{-\lambda \tau_{i j}}\right|\right) \gamma_{j}
$$

for some $i$. Therefore for this fixed $i$

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{i i}+b_{i i} e^{-\lambda \tau_{i i}}\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{-1}\left(\left|a_{i j}\right|+\left|b_{i j}\right| e^{-(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) \tau_{i j}}\right) \gamma_{j}
$$

Suppose $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$. Then (2.3) yields

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \gamma_{i} \leq\left(a_{i i}+\left|b_{i i}\right|\right) \gamma_{i}+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left(\left|a_{i j}\right|+\left|b_{i j}\right|\right) \gamma_{j}<0
$$

which contradicts to the assumption, therefore $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)<0$ for all solutions of (2.2).

The proof implies immediately the next technical result.

Corollary 3 If $-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix, then $A+B$ is nonsingular, as well.

Proof Let $A$ and $B$ satisfy the assumption, pick any $\tau_{i j} \geq 0(i, j=1, \ldots, n)$, and consider the corresponding system (2.1). The proof of Theorem 2 shows that $\mathbf{v}$ is a nonzero constant solution of system (2.1) if and only if $\lambda=0$ is a solution of (2.2). But under this assumption all solutions of (2.2) satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)<0$, therefore the only constant solution of (2.1) is the zero solution. On the other hand, the constant $\mathbf{v}$ solutions of (2.1) satisfy $(A+B) \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}$, hence $A+B$ is nonsingular.

## 3 Stability of a Delayed Neural Network System

Suppose $n$ is a fixed positive integer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}>0, \quad \tau_{i j} \geq 0, \quad a_{i j}, b_{i j}, u_{i} \in \mathbb{R}(i, j=1, \ldots, n), \quad \text { and } \quad f(t)=\frac{1}{2}(|t+1|-|t-1|) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the notations $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right), A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right), \mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)^{T}$. As in the previous section, we use the notation $A=A_{0}+A_{1}$, where $A_{0}$ is the diagonal part, $A_{1}$ is the off-diagonal part of $A$.

Consider the DCNN model equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{i}(t)=-d_{i} x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} f\left(x_{j}(t)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} f\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right)\right)+u_{i}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(t)=\varphi_{i}(t), \quad t \in[-r, 0], \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\max \left\{\tau_{i j}: i, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}$.
To (3.2) we associate an auxiliary system. For a given $\mathbf{c}>\mathbf{0}$ and $\psi_{i}:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ ( $i=1, \ldots, n$ ) consider the system
$\dot{y}_{i}(t)=-d_{i} y_{i}(t)+a_{i i} f\left(y_{i}(t)\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}(t)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right)\right)+c_{i}, \quad t \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$
associated to (3.2), and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}(t)=\psi_{i}(t) \quad t \in[-r, 0], \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1 Suppose (3.1). Let $\psi_{i}:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}(i=1, \ldots, n), \mathbf{c}>\mathbf{0}$, and let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ be the corresponding solution of (3.4)-(3.5). Then there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
0<y_{i}(t)<M, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Proof Since $y_{i}(0)>0$ and $y_{i}$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n, y_{i}(t)>0$ for small enough $t \geq 0$. Suppose there exists $i$ and $T>0$ such that

$$
y_{j}(t)>0 \quad \text { for } t \in[-r, T), j=1, \ldots, n, \quad \text { and } \quad y_{i}(T)=0
$$

Then $\dot{y}_{i}(T-) \leq 0$. On the other hand, (3.4) implies

$$
\dot{y}_{i}(T)=\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}(T)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}\left(T-\tau_{i j}\right)\right)+c_{i}>0
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $y_{i}(t)>0$ for all $t>0$ and $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Fix $i$. To prove that $y_{i}$ is bounded from above, assume that $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{i}(t)=\infty$. Then there exists a monotone increasing sequence $t_{n}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{i}\left(t_{n}\right)=\infty, \quad \text { and } \quad y_{i}\left(t_{n}\right)=\max \left\{y_{i}(t): t \in\left[-r, t_{n}\right]\right\}
$$

Then $\dot{y}_{i}\left(t_{n}-\right) \geq 0$, which contradicts to the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}_{i}\left(t_{n}\right) & =-d_{i} y_{i}\left(t_{n}\right)+a_{i i} f\left(y_{i}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}(T)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}\left(t_{n}-\tau_{i}\right)\right)+c_{i} \\
& \leq-d_{i} y_{i}\left(t_{n}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right|+c_{i} \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

for large enough $n$.

Remark 2 It is easy to check that the matrix $D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|$ is a diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements, if and only if

$$
\mathbf{0}<\left(D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|\right) \mathbf{1}
$$

Lemma 3 Assume (3.1), $D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|$ is a diagonally dominant matrix, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{c}<\left(D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|\right) \mathbf{1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi_{i}:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}(i=1, \ldots, n)$, and let $\mathbf{y}(t)=\left(y_{1}(t), \ldots, y_{n}(t)\right)^{T}$ be the corresponding solution of (3.4)-(3.5). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}(t)=\left(D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|\right)^{-1} \mathbf{c}<\mathbf{1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$
M_{i}=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{i}(t) \quad m_{i}=\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} y_{i}(t)
$$

are finite and $m_{i} \geq 0$. For a fixed $i$ there exists a sequence $t_{n}$ such that

$$
t_{n} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \dot{y}_{i}\left(t_{n}\right) \geq 0, n=1,2 \ldots, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{i}\left(t_{n}\right)=M_{i}
$$

We may also assume that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{j}\left(t_{n}\right)=m_{j}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{j}\left(t_{n}-\tau_{i j}\right)=m_{i j}^{* *}
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots, n$ for some $m_{j}^{*}, m_{i j}^{* *} \in\left[m_{j}, M_{j}\right]$, since otherwise we can select a subsequence of $t_{n}$ with this property. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \dot{y}_{i}\left(t_{n}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(-d_{i} y_{i}\left(t_{n}\right)+a_{i i} f\left(y_{i}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{i}\left(t_{n}-\tau_{i j}\right)\right)+c_{i}\right) \\
& =-d_{i} M_{i}+a_{i i} f\left(M_{i}\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(m_{j}^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(m_{i j}^{* *}\right)+c_{i} \\
& \leq-d_{i} M_{i}+a_{i i} f\left(M_{i}\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(M_{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(M_{j}\right)+c_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore for all $i=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i} & \geq d_{i} M_{i}-a_{i i} f\left(M_{i}\right)-\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(M_{j}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(M_{j}\right) \\
& \geq d_{i} M_{i}-a_{i i} f\left(M_{i}\right)-\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose $M_{i} \geq 1$ for some $i$. Then (3.8) implies

$$
c_{i} \geq d_{i}-a_{i i}-\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right|
$$

which contradicts to assumption (3.6), which yields

$$
0<c_{i}<d_{i}-a_{i i}-\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right|
$$

Therefore $0 \leq M_{i}<1$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. This means there exists $t_{1}>0$ such that for $t \geq t_{1}$ (3.4) is equivalent to the linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{y}_{i}(t)=\left(-d_{i}+a_{i i}\right) y_{i}(t)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| y_{j}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| y_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right)+c_{i}, \quad t \geq t_{1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\mathbf{e}=\left(D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|\right)^{-1} \mathbf{c}
$$

Then $\mathbf{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)^{T}$ is the unique equilibrium of the system (3.9), and it follows from (3.6) that $0 \leq e_{i} \leq M_{i}<1$, so $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{e}<\mathbf{1}$. Introducing $\mathbf{z}(t)=\mathbf{y}(t)-\mathbf{e}$ we can rewrite (3.9) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{i}(t)=\left(-d_{i}+a_{i i}\right) z_{i}(t)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| z_{j}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| z_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right), \quad t \geq t_{1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|$ is a nonsingular M-matrix by Remark 1, Theorem 2 yields the trivial solution of (3.10) is asymptotically stable (independently of the size of the delays), therefore (3.7) holds.

Theorem 4 Assume (3.1), $D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|$ is a diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal elements, and $\mathbf{u}$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbf{u}|<\left(D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|\right) \mathbf{1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any solution $x$ of (3.2)-(3.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}(t)=(D-A-B)^{-1} \mathbf{u} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Fix any initial functions $\psi_{i}:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that

$$
\psi_{i}(s)>\left|\varphi_{i}(s)\right|, \quad s \in[-r, 0], \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

and let $\mathbf{c}>|\mathbf{u}|$ be such that $\mathbf{c}<\left(D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|\right) 1$. Let $\mathbf{y}$ denote the solution of the corresponding IVP (3.4)-(3.5). Since $\mathbf{y}(0)>|\mathbf{x}(0)|$, relation $|\mathbf{x}(t)|<\mathbf{y}(t)$ holds for sufficiently small $t>0$. Suppose there exists $i$ and $T>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{j}(t)\right|<y_{j}(t), \quad t \in[-\tau, T), \quad j=1, \ldots, n, \quad \text { and } \quad\left|x_{i}(T)\right|=y_{i}(T) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that $\left|x_{i}(T)\right|=y_{i}(T) \neq 0$, therefore $\frac{d}{d t}\left|x_{i}(t)\right|$ exists at $T$, and $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|x_{i}(t)\right|\right)_{\mid t=T}=\dot{x}_{i}(T) \operatorname{sign} x_{i}(T)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|x_{i}(t)\right|\right)_{\mid t=T} \\
& \quad=\left(-d_{i} x_{i}(T)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} f\left(x_{j}(T)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} f\left(x_{j}\left(T-\tau_{i j}\right)\right)+u_{i}\right) \operatorname{sign} x_{i}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -d_{i}\left|x_{i}(T)\right|+a_{i i} f\left(\left|x_{i}(T)\right|\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} a_{i j} f\left(x_{j}(T)\right) \operatorname{sign} x_{i}(T) \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} f\left(x_{j}\left(T-\tau_{i j}\right)\right) \operatorname{sign} x_{i}(T)+u_{i} \operatorname{sign} x_{i}(T) \\
< & -d_{i}\left|x_{i}(T)\right|+a_{i i} f\left(\left|x_{i}(T)\right|\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(\left|x_{j}(T)\right|\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(\left|x_{j}\left(T-\tau_{i j}\right)\right|\right)+c_{i} \\
\leq & -d_{i} y_{i}(T)+a_{i i} f\left(y_{i}(T)\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1, j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}(T)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\right| f\left(y_{j}\left(T-\tau_{i j}\right)\right)+c_{i} \\
= & \dot{y}_{i}(T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts to assumption (3.13), therefore $\left|x_{i}(t)\right|<y_{i}(t)$ holds for all $t>0$ and $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, Lemma 3 yields

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}(t)=\left(D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|\right)^{-1} \mathbf{c}<\mathbf{1}
$$

holds, therefore there exists $t_{1}>0$ such that $|\mathbf{x}(t)|<\mathbf{1}$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Then (3.2) is equivalent to

$$
\dot{x}_{i}(t)=-d_{i} x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}\right)+u_{i}, \quad t \geq t_{1} .
$$

This implies (3.12) using an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 3.

## 4 Examples

To illustrate our results consider the two-dimensional DCNN model equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1}(t) & =-x_{1}(t)-6 f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)+f\left(x_{2}(t)\right)-3 f\left(x_{1}(t-1)\right)+f\left(x_{2}(t-2)\right)+u_{1}  \tag{4.1}\\
\dot{x}_{2}(t) & =-x_{2}(t)-f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)-3 f\left(x_{2}(t)\right)-f\left(x_{1}(t-1)\right)+f\left(x_{2}(t-2)\right)+u_{2}, \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is defined by (1.2). It is easy to see that

$$
D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
4 & -2 \\
-2 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a diagonally dominant matrix. Therefore Theorem 4 yields that if $\left|u_{1}\right|<2$ and $\left|u_{2}\right|<1$ then the trivial solution of this system is asymptotically stable. In Figure 1 we have plotted the two components of the solutions corresponding to $u_{1}=-1$ and $u_{2}=0.5$ and to the initial functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\varphi_{1}(t)}{\varphi_{2}(t)}=\binom{t+1}{-t}, \quad\binom{\sin 2 t}{t^{2}-1}, \quad\binom{\cos t+1}{t+2} \quad \text { and } \quad\binom{t^{3}-2}{-2 \cos t} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.


Figure 1. Case $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=(-1,0.5)$.
We can observe that all solutions tend to the unique equilibrium $(-0.058824,0.20588)^{T}$.
Note that the condition of Mohamad and Gopalsamy (1.3) is not satisfied for (4.1)-(4.2), and also the condition of Takahashi gives the matrix

$$
W=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-7 & -2 \\
-2 & -4
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is not an M-matrix. Therefore none of this two conditions can be applied for system (4.1)-(4.2).

By checking other input values outside the region $\left|u_{1}\right|<2$ and $\left|u_{2}\right|<1$ we observed in every cases we tried all solutions tended to the unique equilibrium $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{T}$ of the system (not necessary satisfying $\left|v_{1}\right|,\left|v_{2}\right|<1$ ). In Figure 2 we can see the graphs of solutions of (4.1)-(4.2) corresponding to $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=(3,5)$ and to the initial functions (4.3). We can observe that all solutions tend to the unique equilibrium $(0.5,2)^{T}$.


Figure 2. Case $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=(3,5)$.
Next we plotted the solutions corresponding to $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=(-8.5,-5.5)$ and to the initial functions (4.3) in Figure 3. Again, all solutions tend to the unique equilibrium $(-1.5,-1.5)^{T}$.



Figure 3. Case $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=(-8.5,-5.5)$.
Now change the coefficient of $f\left(x_{2}(t-2)\right)$ in (4.1) to 4, i.e., consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}(t)=-x_{1}(t)-6 f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)+f\left(x_{2}(t)\right)-3 f\left(x_{1}(t-1)\right)+4 f\left(x_{2}(t-2)\right)+u_{1}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \dot{x}_{2}(t)=-x_{2}(t)-f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)-3 f\left(x_{2}(t)\right)-f\left(x_{1}(t-1)\right)+f\left(x_{2}(t-2)\right)+u_{2} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We plotted the solutions corresponding to $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=(-6,4)$ and to the initial functions (4.3) in Figure 4. As before, all solutions tend to the unique equilibrium, which is $(-0.1,2.2)^{T}$ in this case. On the other hand,

$$
D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
4 & -5 \\
-2 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

is no longer a diagonally dominant matrix, but it is a nonsingular M-matrix.


Figure 4. Case $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=(-6,4)$.

Therefore our numerical experiments on these and other systems suggest the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 Assume (3.1) and $D-A_{0}-\left|A_{1}\right|-|B|$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix. Then (3.2) has a unique equilibrium for any input vector $\mathbf{u}$, and any solution of (3.2) tends to this equilibrium.
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